



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/974,878	10/12/2001	Isamu Hotta	040302-0278	7600

7590 04/05/2002

Glenn Law
FOLEY & LARDNER
Washington Harbour
3000 K Street, N.W., Suite 500
Washington, DC 20007-5109

EXAMINER

TRAN, DIEM T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3748

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/974,878	HOTTA ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Diem Tran	3748	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

**A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM
 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.**

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.
 - 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.

If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
 - a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____.	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bennett et al. (US Patent 5,939,028).

Regarding claim 1, Bennett discloses an exhaust emission control device of an internal combustion engine, comprising:

a CO oxidation catalyst; and a water trap disposed upstream of and close to the CO oxidation catalyst (see col. 8, lines 18-25).

Regarding claims 2, 4, Bennett further discloses an underfloor catalyst wherein a CO oxidation catalyst and a water trap are coated on a support (see col. 8, lines 25-33).

Regarding claim 3, Bennett further discloses the water trap is disposed upstream of the CO oxidation catalyst (see col. 8, lines 23-24).

Regarding claims 5, 6, Bennett further discloses the water trap and CO oxidation are disposed as layers or mixed to each other (see col. 8, lines 25-28).

Regarding claim 7, Bennett further discloses the CO oxidation catalyst has low temperature light-off characteristics (see col. 6, lines 43-47; col. 9, lines 48-55).

Regarding claim 8, Bennett further discloses a secondary air supply unit disposed upstream of the water trap (see Figure 1).

3. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Frost et al. (US Patent 5,776,417).

Regarding claim 12, Frost discloses an exhaust emission control device of an internal combustion engine, comprising:

An underfloor catalyst wherein a low temperature light-off CO oxidation catalyst and a water trap are coated on a support (see col. 2, lines 52-62; col. 3, lines 17-20); a secondary air supply unit disposed upstream of the underfloor catalyst (see Figure 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bennett et al. in view of Frost et al (JP 409103645).

Regarding claim 9, Bennett discloses all the claimed limitations as discussed in claim 1 above; however, fails to disclose a HC trap disposed upstream of the water trap. Frost teaches that it is conventional in the art, to utilize a HC trap disposed upstream of the water trap (see SOLUTION, lines 15-18).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made, to have utilized HC trap disposed upstream of the water trap as taught by Frost in the Bennett device since such would have increased the efficiency of the emission control system.

6. Claims 10, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bennett et al. in view of Mizuno et al. (US Patent 6,029,441).

Regarding claim 10, Bennett discloses all the claimed limitations as discussed in claim 1 above, Bennett further discloses a secondary air supply unit disposed upstream of the water trap (see Figure 1); however, fails to disclose a HC trap disposed upstream of the secondary air supply unit. Mizuno teaches that it is conventional in the art, to utilize a HC trap (10) disposed upstream of the secondary air supply unit (16) (see Figure 2; see col. 8, lines 51-63).

Regarding claim 11, Bennett discloses an exhaust emission control device of an internal combustion engine, comprising:

a low temperature light-off CO oxidation catalyst (see col. 9, lines 48-55); a water trap disposed upstream of and close to the CO oxidation catalyst (see col. 8, lines 18-25); a secondary air supply unit disposed upstream of the water trap (see Figure 1); however, fails to disclose a HC trap disposed upstream of the secondary air supply unit. Mizuno teaches that it is conventional in the art, to utilize a HC trap disposed upstream of the secondary air supply unit (see Figure 2; see col. 8, lines 51-63).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made, to have utilized HC trap disposed upstream of the secondary air supply unit as taught by Mizuno in the Bennett device since such would have increased the efficiency of the emission control system.

Conclusions

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Diem Tran whose telephone number is (703) 308-6073. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Friday from 8:30 a.m.- 5:00p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas E. Denion, can be reached on (703) 308-2623. The fax number for this group is (703) 308-7763.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.



Diem Tran
Patent Examiner
Art unit 3748

DT
April 2, 2002



THOMAS DENION
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700